

# ***GROW PROJECT*** **FINAL REPORT**

**Project number**

**Title of the GROW project:** .....

**Name of applicant at FWF (= Austrian host):**.....

**Name of GROW fellow:** .....

**Time of stay in Austria:** .....

***Please provide information to the following points on max. 3 pages (11pt type, single spaced). The complete report must be submitted in hardcopy and on electronic medium (CD) in Word for Windows format.***

**Brief report on the GROW project**

- What were the most important results of the GROW project?
- Was there a change in terms of content compared to the initially submitted abstract? If so, what form did the change take and what effect did it have on the project?

**Added value for the Austrian host institution**

- In what way did the Austrian host institution benefit from the GROW project?
- Are there plans for future activities between the GROW fellow and the Austrian host institution?

**Did the GROW project have further implications?** (e.g. impact on teaching, organisation of conferences, public relation activities, relevance for society in general, etc.)

## Publications

Publications may only be listed if they relate directly to the project. **Up to three of the most important publications** should be highlighted as such (e.g. printed in bold letters).

Please note: In accordance with the guidelines of the FWF concerning Open Access, with the submission of the final report, **all peer-reviewed publications that resulted from the project have to be made openly accessible** (see: <http://www.fwf.ac.at/en/research-funding/open-access-policy/>). Exceptions to this rule, e.g., if a publication organ explicitly does not permit Open Access, must be proven. For projects funded after 1 January 2015, no exceptions are possible.

In the interest of the project continuation, it is requested to provide the activation within this period. For inquiries relating to the refund of publication costs please contact Katharina Rieck via: [publikationskosten@fwf.ac.at](mailto:publikationskosten@fwf.ac.at). Please note that funding for publication costs can be requested (under the original project number) for up to three years following completion of a project.

Please indicate at the end of every peer-review publication (in brackets) the Open Access (OA) type as following:

- Gold OA = published in Open Access Journal, with or without an author fee (see register of all Open Access Journals <http://www.doaj.org/>)
- Hybrid OA = published in a subscription journal but Open Access by an author fee (see [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hybrid\\_open\\_access\\_journal](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hybrid_open_access_journal))
- Green OA = self-archived electronic copy of the final "accepted manuscript" which might include an embargo period (see: <http://www.fwf.ac.at/en/research-funding/open-access-policy/>)
- Other OA = any other type of Open Access
- No OA = not published Open Access

### 1.1 **Peer-reviewed publications / already published** (journals, monographs, anthologies, contributions to anthologies, proceedings, research data, etc.)

Citations should be provided in a **commonly used format**. For each work, the publication list **must mention the following**:

- Author(s)
- Title
- Journal
- Issue
- Year
- Pages
- DOI or ISBN (for books)
- If Open Access: URL
- Open Access (OA) Type

### 1.2 **Non peer-reviewed publications / already published** (journals, monographs, anthologies, contributions to anthologies, research reports, working papers / preprints, proceedings, research data, etc.)

Citations should be provided in a **commonly used format**. For each work, the publication list **must mention the following**:

- Author(s)
- Title
- Journal
- Issue
- Year
- Pages
- DOI or ISBN or URL / if applicable
- Open Access / if applicable
- Open Access (OA) Type

### 1.3 **Planned publications** (journals, monographs, anthologies, contributions to anthologies, proceedings, research data, etc.)

## Cooperation with the FWF

Please rate the following aspects with regard to your interaction with the FWF. Please provide any **additional comments (explanations)** on the supplementary sheet with a reference to the corresponding question/aspect.

### Scale:

- 2 highly unsatisfactory
- 1 unsatisfactory
- 0 appropriate
- +1 satisfactory
- +2 highly satisfactory
- X not used

## Rules

(i.e. guidelines for: funding programme, application, use of resources, reports)

### Rating

<b>Application guidelines</b>	Length	
	Clarity	
	Intelligibility	

## Procedures (submission, review, decision)

	Advising	
	Duration of procedure	
	Transparency	

## Project support

<b>Advising</b>	Availability	
	Level of detail	
	Intelligibility	

<b>Financial transactions</b> (credit transfers, equipment purchases, personnel management)	
--	--

## Reporting / review / exploitation

	Effort	
	Transparency	
	Support in PR work / exploitation	

**Comments on cooperation/interaction with the FWF:**

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin grey border, intended for the user to provide comments on cooperation or interaction with the FWF. The box is currently blank.