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Please include/consider the following in the research report

- Target audience: peer reviewers
- To be written in the language of the original application
- Length in total: at minimum 30,000 characters including spaces (4,500 words), not to exceed 60,000 characters including spaces (or 9,000 words)
- Formatting: 11 pt. font with 1.5 line (15-20 pt.) spacing and at least 2 cm margins
- Please address only the points that apply to your award
1 Report on research work

1.1 Information on the origin and development of research work

- Please tell us about the general research concept/framework and long-term objectives.
- Were there fundamental changes in research orientation between the beginning and the end of the Wittgenstein Award? If so, please describe them briefly and list the effects they had on your research.

1.2 Key results and brief description of their significance

- Did the results of your project contribute to the advancement of the research field or increase the importance of the research field? If so, in what way and to what extent?
- Did your project result in new important scientific/scholarly advances? For example, did the research contribute to enhancing the importance of the discipline? If so, to what extent and in what respect?
- Briefly describe the relevance of the most important hypotheses/research questions developed in your research project. Have the project findings opened a promising new research agenda for a particular field (new hypotheses, new research questions, etc.) or a possible paradigm shift?
- Have new research methods or instruments been developed?
- Was your project of relevance for related or other areas of research? Were there transdisciplinary issues and methods?

1.3 Information on the execution of the project, the use of available funds

Briefly describe the following points:
- Support and promotion of junior researchers;
- Invitations of visiting researchers;
- Expansion of international cooperation arrangements;
- Major items of equipment purchased;
- Establishment of research facilities;
- Special activities for the purpose of broader public relations work.

2 Impact of the Wittgenstein Award on the recipient’s research work

With regard to the following points:
• Opportunities opened up by the award which would not have been accessible without the funds provided (e.g., exploratory research topics, intensification of research in new areas outside your field of expertise);
• Importance (impact, success) of these new opportunities;
• Impact of the award and the research work carried out on the recipient’s international reputation;
• Observed/foreseeable impact of the award on the scientific/scholarly career of the academic staff financed by the award.

3 Broader impacts of the Wittgenstein Award

With regard to the following points:
• Importance of the research conducted within the scope of the award project for your research institution;
• Research institution’s support for the research conducted within the scope of the Wittgenstein Award;
• Relevance to the development of education/training for junior researchers outside of their work on the award project (integration of project results into lectures, seminars, etc.);
• Relevance to non-academic areas (cooperation with business world, effects on society and the like);
• Future work plans and projects envisaged by the award recipient.

4 Other important aspects

Briefly mention the following points (if applicable):
• Publications in preparation/submitted/accepted/in press;
• Project-related participation in national and international scientific/scholarly conferences and a list of the most important lectures held;
• Organisation of symposia and conferences;
• Ongoing applications for patents or licences;
• List of the most important pending applications for grants or any other aspects.
This report will serve as the basis for the ex-post evaluation of the project.

Reviewer guidelines for the final evaluation of a Wittgenstein Award

Q1. Use of the funds provided

Have the funds provided by the Wittgenstein Award been used efficiently?

Q2. Scientific/scholarly success within the scope of the Wittgenstein Award

With regard to the following questions:

- How did the funds of the Wittgenstein Award contribute to the award winner’s impact on international research?
- Did the award promote the development of the specific field of research?
- Did the research break new ground in science and research?
- Did the research develop new hypotheses or methods?
- What other results could be achieved?
- To what extent could the Austrian research be established within the international research community?

Q3. Unique opportunities for development which arose within the scope of the Wittgenstein Award

With regard to the following questions:

- Did the award help the researcher to make use of new opportunities which would have been impossible otherwise (e.g., unconventional topics, intensified research in fields that were new to the award winner)?
- Did the researcher exploit the opportunities successfully? In what way?
- How did the Wittgenstein Award and the research carried out increase the award winner’s international reputation?
- Did the Wittgenstein Award have an influence on the academic staff working on the project (academic career, personal career planning)?
Q4. Additional effects

With regard to the following questions:

- Did the research institute support the research of the award winner? To what extent?
- What was the impact of the Wittgenstein Award on the research institute?
- Did the award help to intensify the researcher's publication activities?
- What kind of meetings or conferences were organized?
- Were the research outcomes achieved during the Wittgenstein Award presented to a broader public?
- How promising are the planned follow-up activities?